

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|
| 09/967,274 | 09/27/2001 | Joseph B. Richey II | 12873/04169 | 6800 | |
| 24024 | 7590 07/27/2004 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| CALFEE HALTER & GRISWOLD, LLP 800 SUPERIOR AVENUE | | | EREZO, D | EREZO, DARWIN P | |
| SUITE 1400 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| CLEVELAN | ID, OH 44114 | | 3731 | | |

DATE MAILED: 07/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | | 42) |
|---|--|---|---|----------------|
| • | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | | 09/967,274 | RICHEY, JOSEPH | ∃ B. |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | | Darwin P. Erezo | 3731 | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication aportion or Reply | pears on the cover sheet w | vith the correspondence ad | idress |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any | MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION PAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION PRINTS OF THIS COMMUNICATION PRINTS OF THE PROVISION OF THE PRINTS OF TH | .136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of thi I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A | reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timel NTHS from the mailing date of this c BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16, | <u> April 2004</u> . | | |
| 2a)[| This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi | is action is non-final. | | |
| 3) 🗌 | Since this application is in condition for allowed | ance except for formal mat | iters, prosecution as to the | e merits is |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I | D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application | n. | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra | awn from consideration. | | |
| 5)⊠ | Claim(s) 1-21 is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) 22-28 is/are rejected. | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | |
| 8) 🗌 | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ | or election requirement. | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | |
| 9)□ | The specification is objected to by the Examin | er. | | • |
| 10) | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac | cepted or b)□ objected to | by the Examiner. | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | 7 | | FR 1.121(d). |
| 11)[| The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | · | · · · · · | |
| Priority (| under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig | n priority under 35 U.S.C. | § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| , — | ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | , | 6 | |
| -/ | 1. Certified copies of the priority documer | nts have been received. | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documer | | Application No. | • |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri | | | Stage |
| | application from the International Burea | - | | 9 |
| * (| See the attached detailed Office action for a lis | • | t received. | |
| | | · | | |
| Attachmen | nt(s) | | | |
| | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview | Summary (PTO-413) | |
| 2) Notic | ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No. | (s)/Mail Date | 0.450) |
| . — | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/1/04</u> . | 3) 5) | Informal Patent Application (PTC | J-15 <u>2)</u> |

Application/Control Number: 09/967,274

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 22, 23 and 25-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 5,094,235 to Westenskow et al. in view of US 5,044,362 to Younes and in further view of US 5,193,544 to Jaffe.
- 3. As to claims 22 and 23, Westenskow teaches a system for administering a breathing gas to a patient breathing interface comprising: a ventilator **101** for providing positive pressure breathing gas; a controller (carbon dioxide control loop **4**) in circuit communication with the ventilator; a carbon dioxide sensor **107**; and a logic (col. 8, lines 15-43) capable of increasing and decreasing the level of the positive pressure breathing gas based on the level of carbon dioxide detected to maintain open the airway of a patient (changing the frequency of ventilation changes the pressure of the breathing gas provided to the patient); wherein the logic compares the level of carbon dioxide to a threshold parameter (col. 8, line 20). Westenskow is silent with regards to the ventilator comprising a blower or the carbon dioxide sensor comprising an infrared light emitter and detector in circuit communication with the controller for detecting the level of carbon dioxide associated with the patient breathing interface.

Application/Control Number: 09/967,274

Art Unit: 3731

Younes teaches that it is known in the art to provide positive pressure breathable gas to a patient via ventilators comprising blowers (col. 1, lines 13-21).

Jaffe teaches a carbon dioxide sensor for use in respiratory device comprising an infrared light emitter **34** and detector **36**.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the carbon dioxide sensor of Jaffe in the device of Westenskow because capnometers utilizing infrared light provide a more accurate method of detecting carbon dioxide levels in the system. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to use any well known carbon dioxide sensor since Westenskow merely recites the use of a general carbon dioxide sensor. Moreover, it would have been obvious to use a ventilator comprising a blower since it is well known in the art to use blowers to provide positive pressure to a patient.

- 4. As to claims 25-28, the above combination teaches the use of fiber optic cables **106** (Jaffe); a carbon dioxide sensor within a housing accommodating the controller (gas metering unit of Westenskow); and the carbon dioxide sensor located in the patient breathing interface proximate to a vent of the patient breathing interface (Fig. 1 of Westenskow).
- 5. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Westenskow/Younes/Jaffe and in further view of US 3,921,628 to Smythe et al.

The above combination is silent with regards to the system having a monostable timer. Smythe teaches a monostable timer in a ventilation system (see col. 7, lines 60-

Application/Control Number: 09/967,274

Art Unit: 3731

64). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add the monostable timer of Smythe to the device of Christopher/Jaffe because it is known to have a monostable timer in a ventilation system, as disclosed by Smythe, in order control the operation of the ventilator.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 1-21 are allowed.
- 7. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The prior art of record fails to teach or render obvious a method of providing breathable gas to a patient by monitoring a carbon dioxide level, determining if the carbon dioxide level is above or below a threshold value, if the level is above the threshold value (which indicates exhalation), the breathing gas pressure provided to the patient is decreased; and if the level is below the threshold value (which indicates inhalation), the breathing gas pressure is increased.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Art Unit: 3731

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 22-28 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Darwin P. Erezo whose telephone number is (703) 605-0420. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, McDermott or Shaver can be reached on (703)308-0858. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

de

GLENN K. DAWSON PRIMARY EXAMINER